

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,044	02/09/2005	Thorsten Ricking	SCH-00092	5198
30853	7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER	
WARN, HOFFMANN, MILLER & LALONE, .P.C PO BOX 70098 ROCHESTER HILLS, MI 48307			LEE, EDN	MUND H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1732	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,044	RICKING ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/23 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 100 ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condit	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
···			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "said first casting...into the mold" (cl 1, lns 11-14) is indefinite because it is idiomatically incorrect.

Correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 63-31873. JP 63-31873 teaches the claimed process as evidenced by the abstract and figs 1-3.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2000-223748. JP 2000-223748 teaches the claimed process as evidenced by the abstract and figs 1-3.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 63-129680. JP 63-129680 teaches the claimed process as evidenced by the abstract and figs 1-3.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,044 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

6. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 64-69020. JP 64-69020 teaches the claimed process as evidenced by the abstract and figs 1-7.

- 7. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 64-69019. JP 64-69019 teaches the claimed process as evidenced by the abstract and figs 1-7.
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 63-129680. The above teachings of JP 63-129680 are incorporated hereinafter. JP 63-129680 does not teach introducing the material through the cross-section of the channel on the mold-bottom side. The exact position of the material inlet is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on mold equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, introducing material through the bottom of a mold is well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to introduce the material of JP 63-129680 through the cross-section of the channel of JP 63-129680 on the mold-bottom side of JP 63-129680 in order to hide any possible flashing.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,044

Art Unit: 1732

10. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 64-69020. The above teachings of JP 64-69020 are incorporated hereinafter. JP 64-69020 does not teach introducing the material through the cross-section of the channel on the mold-bottom side. The exact position of the material inlet is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on mold equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, introducing material through the bottom of a mold is well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to introduce the material of JP 64-69020 through the cross-section of the channel of JP 64-69020 on the mold-bottom side of JP JP 64-69020 in order to hide any possible flashing.

Page 4

11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 64-69019. The above teachings of JP 64-69019 are incorporated hereinafter. JP 64-69019 does not teach introducing the material through the cross-section of the channel on the mold-bottom side. The exact position of the material inlet is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on mold equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, introducing material through the bottom of a mold is well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to introduce the material of JP 64-69019 through the cross-section of the channel of JP 64-69019 on the mold-bottom side of JP 64-69019 in order to hide any possible flashing.

Art Unit: 1732

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

2 lm 5/2/06.

EHL